

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/576,560	<b>Applicant(s)</b> HEMMINGSON, OLLE	
	<b>Examiner</b> BHARAT C. PATEL	<b>Art Unit</b> 3724	

  

**All Participants:**

(1) BHARAT C. PATEL.

(2) G. Ali.

**Date of Interview:** 26 April 2010

**Type of Interview:**

☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant    ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes    ☒ No  
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*Previous Non-Final rejection*

Claims discussed:  
1

Prior art documents discussed:  
*None*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**Status of Application:** Allowance

(3) Alan Kopecki (Reg. # 25813).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Time:** 9:30 AM

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The supplemental amendment to claims 1, 4-9 and 11, specification and drawing were reviewed, and Examiner clarified that the tensioned shape in the last line of claim is not positively recited. Applicant agrees to Examiner's amendment to define the tensioned shape per the discussion. .